1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC UTILITY REGULAR OPEN MEETING
4	Wednesday, November 1, 2018
5	Chicago, Illinois
6	
7	Met pursuant to notice at 10:30 A.M.,
8	at 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois
9	PRESENT:
10	BRIEN J. SHEAHAN, Chairman
11	SADZI M. OLIVA, Commissioner
12	JOHN R. ROSALES, Commissioner (via telephonically)
13	D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner (via videoconference)
14	ANASTASIA PALIVOS, Acting Commissioner
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
20	BRAD BENJAMIN, CSR LICENSE NO. 084-004805
21	
22	

- 1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Good morning.
- 2 Are we ready to proceed in
- 3 Springfield?
- 4 CHIEF CLERK: We are.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Pursuant to the Open
- 6 Meetings Act, I call the November 1st, 2018, Regular
- 7 Open Meeting to order. Commissioners Oliva and
- 8 Acting Commissioner Palivos are with me in Chicago.
- 9 Commissioner Kimbrel is in Springfield. We have a
- 10 quorum.
- 11 Commissioner Rosales is seeking to
- 12 participate by phone. Commissioner Rosales, are you
- 13 with us?
- 14 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: I am, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Are there any objections to
- 16 allowing Commissioner Rosales to participate by
- 17 phone?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, Commissioner
- 20 Rosales is granted permission to participate by
- 21 phone.
- We have two requests to speak this

- 1 morning. As a reminder, you'll have three minutes.
- 2 We'll let you know when you have two minutes left,
- 3 one minute left, and when your time has expired.
- 4 Please be aware that while the
- 5 Commission affords the public an opportunity to
- 6 comment, we will not respond directly to your
- 7 comments. Your comments will be made part of the
- 8 record. However, they cannot be relied on to resolve
- 9 disputed issues of fact in contested cases.
- 10 Our first speaker is Vern see.
- 11 CHIEF CLERK: Mr. Chairman, they're both here
- 12 in Springfield again.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Okay. Okay.
- 14 MR. VERN C: Chairman Sheahan and
- 15 Commissioners:
- 16 My comments refer to the Illinois
- 17 Rivers Project. First off, I'm in favor of renewable
- 18 energy. When you flip the switch, I want lights just
- 19 like everyone else.
- Here's a copy of the sign-in sheet of
- 21 the Electric Transmission Planning/Approval meeting
- 22 held here in this building on July 8th, 2014, called

- 1 by the ICC and the Illinois Department of
- 2 Agriculture. This is my recollection of that
- 3 meeting.
- 4 The utilities were more strongly
- 5 represented than the public or consumer. They spoke
- of job creation and the reliability of power new
- 7 transmission lines would effect. There were many
- 8 strained arms by their constant effort to pat
- 9 themselves on the back.
- 10 When I was finally allowed to speak, I
- 11 said, "I am a landowner. My question is, How many of
- 12 these ominous lines do you intend to cross our state
- 13 with?"
- 14 The mood quickly changed. The
- 15 response was "We don't have that information in front
- of us." Ameren's CEO said, "We did not suppose the
- 17 landowner had a stake in this." Senator Rose went
- 18 ballistic, explaining that we certainly do. He
- introduced a bill which passed the Senate 58 to
- 20 nothing, 58 to nothing to protect future landowners.
- 21 We win a battle.
- Someone finally said, "When are you

- 1 going to answer this man's question?" The response
- 2 was 9 to 11 proposed lines to cross our state. The
- 3 fish certainly swim toward that government money. I
- 4 believe most of these lines have been abandoned due
- 5 to this meeting. We win another battle: wind farms
- 6 in Illinois -- new wind farms in Illinois and
- 7 Indiana, and the change in government policy.
- 8 It appears proposing these lines was
- 9 influenced by government policy to promote renewable
- 10 energy. As usual, a well-intended program has been
- 11 misdirected to increase their bottom line.
- Here's a copy of a sale bill of 6,200
- 13 acres of strip-mined land in east central Illinois
- 14 and west central Indiana which already has a
- 15 transmission line running through it, very close to
- 16 the Sugar Creek generating station, where the
- 17 Illinois Rivers Projects intend to go. It may, in
- 18 fact, go to the Sugar Creek station. I don't know.
- The land was sold in 60 or so lots
- 20 ranging in price from 3- to \$500 per acre. A perfect
- 21 place to make renewable energy, near population
- 22 without the need of additional lines, yet no utility

- 1 made a bid. We have won a few battles yet the war
- 2 rages on, wasting our money we could spend on needed
- 3 things.
- 4 The job of regulating the utilities
- 5 and acting in the best interest -- interest of the
- 6 consumer is truly difficult. The Illinois Rivers
- 7 Project has hundreds of flaws. I ask that you
- 8 terminate it at the Kansas substation.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, sir.
- Our next speaker is Tom Ogle.
- 12 MR. TOM OGLE: Thank you.
- 13 My name is Tom Ogle. Thank you for
- 14 this opportunity to speak.
- Shortly after the Illinois Commerce
- 16 Commission approved the Kansas-Indiana State Line
- 17 segment of the Illinois Rivers on August -- or
- 18 Illinois Rivers Project on August 20th, 2013,
- 19 landowners received certified letters on or around
- 20 September 10th, 2013. This was our first knowledge
- 21 that an intervener's segment of a hybrid line would
- 22 cross a large segment of Edgar County.

- 1 A group of us affected filed an
- 2 application for rehearing in a timely manner with
- 3 this Commission. We were denied. We then pled our
- 4 case to the Fourth Appellate Court. That court ruled
- 5 we were premature because our property rights were
- 6 not at risk yet, because it was merely a line drawn
- 7 on a map.
- 8 Later, Ameren filed condemnation on 34
- 9 landowners on this segment. Ameren accepted our 34
- 10 sworn statements of no notice into evidence. Judge
- 11 DeArmond granted our motion to dismiss on the grounds
- that the application [sic] version of Section 8-406.1
- 13 was unconstitutional.
- 14 Recently, the Illinois Supreme Court
- Justices were not unanimous in their opinions. Our
- 16 Constitutional rights have still not been addressed
- 17 over five years later.
- 18 How did the expedited review provision
- work on our segment of the Illinois Rivers Project?
- Not very expedited.
- Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, sir.

- 1 That concludes our Public Comments.
- 2 We'll now move into our Public Utility Agenda.
- 3 There are edits to the October 10th
- 4 Policy Session Minutes.
- 5 Are there any objections to approving
- 6 the Minutes as edited?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Minutes
- 9 are approved.
- 10 Item E-1 concerns MidAmerican's
- 11 reconciliation of revenue collected under its fuel
- 12 adjustment charges.
- 13 Are there any objections to approving
- 14 the Proposed Order approving the reconciliation?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- 17 approved.
- 18 Item E-2 concerns Ameren's
- 19 reconciliation of revenues collected under its
- 20 utility consolidated billing and purchase of
- 21 receivables program.
- 22 Are there any objections to approving

- 1 the Proposed Order approving the reconciliation?
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- 4 approved.
- 5 Item E-3 concerns MidAmerican's
- 6 Reconciliation of Revenues Collected under its
- 7 Transmission Service Rider.
- 8 Are there any objections to approving
- 9 the Proposed Order approving the reconciliation?
- 10 (No response.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- 12 approved.
- 13 Item E-4 concerns Ameren's annual
- 14 formula rate update.
- 15 Are there any objections to approving
- 16 the Proposed Order approving the reconciliation and
- 17 rate increase?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- approved.
- 21 Item E-5 concerns Ameren's petition
- for the approval of Customer Generation Rebate and

- 1 Customer Generation Charge Rider.
- 2 Are there any objections to approving
- 3 the Proposed Order approving the Petition?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- 6 approved.
- 7 Item E-6 concerns American's --
- 8 Ameren's petition for the approval of various updates
- 9 and changes to its Energy Efficiency and Demand
- 10 Response Investment Rider.
- 11 Are there any objections to approving
- the Proposed Order approving the Petition?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- 15 approved.
- 16 Item E-7 concerns MC Squared Energy
- 17 Services' application for authority to operate as an
- 18 Alternative Electric Supplier.
- 19 Are there any objections to approving
- 20 the Proposed Order approving the application?
- 21 (No response.)
- 22 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is

- 1 approved.
- 2 Item E-8 and 9 concern applications
- 3 for authority to operate as Agents, Brokers and
- 4 Consultants.
- 5 Are there any objections to
- 6 considering these items together and approving the
- 7 Proposed Orders?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are
- 10 approved.
- 11 Item E-10 through 31 concern
- 12 Applications for Authority to Install Energy
- 13 Efficiency Measures.
- 14 Are there any objections to
- 15 considering these items together and approving the
- 16 Proposed Orders?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are
- 19 approved.
- 20 Item G-1 concerns MidAmerican's
- 21 Reconciliation of Revenues collected under its gas
- 22 adjustment charges.

- 1 Are there any objections to approving
- the Proposed Order approving the reconciliation?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- 5 approved.
- 6 Item G-2 concerns Ameren's rate
- 7 increase.
- 8 Are there any objections to approving
- 9 the Proposed Order approving the rate increase?
- 10 (No response.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
- 12 approved.
- Moving on to Other Business, Item 0-1
- 14 concerns the consolidated FERC Docket No. EL 18-178.
- 15 Are there any objections to approving
- the ICC's Reply Comments?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Comments
- 19 are approved.
- Judge Teague Kingsley, do you have any
- 21 matters to bring before the Commission this morning?
- JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY: No, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Commissioners, do any of you
2	have any other business you'd like to discuss this
3	morning?
4	COMMISSIONER ROSALES: No, Mr. Chairman.
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none and without
7	objection, the meeting is adjourned.
8	Thank you.
9	(Whereupon the above
10	matter was adjourned.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	